# Cryostat Thermal Model (CTM3) Preliminary results

Christine Darve / LHC / CRI 30/03/98

(Note: figures and graphs are not linked to this file, see p3,6,8 and10)

## Head lines of the presentation

- 1. Why a Cryostat Thermal Model?
- 2. How to measure?
- 3. Previous model results
- 4. The preliminary results for the CTM3
- 5. Further investigations

## 1. Why a Cryostat Thermal Model?

- To measure on a full-scale dipole cryostat:
  - Heat Inleaks for various LHC possible condition environments
  - To validate the theoretical modeling
  - To complete the single component performance measurements
- High-level timing goal for CTM3/run1:
   Adoption of an actively cooled screen @ 5 K ?
  - Transient state
  - Steady-state nominal condition
  - Influence of the screen temperature
  - Influence of the insulation vacuum

### 2. How to measure?

Current source: 0.01%

Voltmeter (DVM): 1 mV

Mass-flowmeter: Dm < 2 %

Temperature and pressure instrumentation

```
    T>30 K: Pt100 (100 mA, DT=0.2K @77K)
    T<30 K: Allen Bradley (1 mA, DT=4mK @4.2K et DT=20mK @30K)</li>
    1.5<T<273 K: Cernox (1 mA, DT=1mK @4.2K et DT=10mK @100K)</li>
    8E-4 < P [mbar] <1E3 : Pirani</li>
    1E-9 < P [mbar] <1E-3 : Penning (3.3kV)</li>
```

@ 1.9 K

@ 4.5 K

@ 50 K<sub>4</sub>

### Contribution of the extremity boxes

At 1.9 K

Feed box 364 mW

At [4.5-20 K]

Return box 0.8 W

At [50-75 K]

Return box 19.5 W

### Set of environment condition

**Temperature range** 

TS: 50 K -> 75 K

RS: 5 K -> 20 K

Insulation vacuum range

P : 5E-4 ->1E-1 Pa

# 3. Previous cryostat models

- Descriptions
- Results
- Conclusions

### CTM1 and CTM2 results:

|                  |                      |                | 1 3 3 3 1 1 3         | <u>, c., c., c.</u> |                    |   |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|
| Heat inleak[W/m] | @ 50-75 K / Line E+F |                | @ 4.5-20 K / Line C+D |                     | @ 1.9 K            |   |
|                  | measu                | red calculated | measur                | ed calculated       | measured calculate | d |
| CTM1             | 4.78                 | 4.58           | 0.23                  | 0.24                | 0.18               |   |
| CTM2             | 4.32                 | 4.12           | 0.48                  | 0.33                | 0.15 (1) 0.12      |   |

(1) The contribution of the feed box on the measured heat inleak at 1.9 K is estimated to 0.314 W.

#### Heat inleaks for the two support posts

| Heat inleak [W] | @ 50 - 75 | K/ Line E    | @ 4.5 - 20 | K / Line C | @1.9 K              |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------------|
|                 | measured  | l calculated | measured   | calculated | measured calculated |
| CTM1            | 15.8      | 14.2         | 1.8        | 2.08       | 0.21                |
| CTM2            | 16        | 11.7         | 1.8 (2)    | 0.9        | 0.2                 |

(2) No sensor to confirm the calculation.

#### Screens performance

| Heat inleak [W/m^2] | @ 50 - 75 K         | @ 4.5 - 20 K / 24 Braids E |  |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
|                     | measured calculated | measured calculated        |  |
| CTM1                | 1.2 1.09            | 0.09 0.08                  |  |
| CTM2                | 1.09 1.11           | 0.119(3) 0.102             |  |

(3) Interpretation with braid thermometers.

### CTM3 expected results

- Theoretical analysis: floating and actively cooled screen comparison
- Modelling of CTM3:
- Complementary tests:
- Support post performance
- MLI
- Spacer

# 4. CTM3 preliminary results

#### **Total loads**

| Heat inleak[W/m] | @ 50-75 K / Line E |            | @ 4.5-20 K / | Line C     | @ 1.9 K             |  |
|------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------------------|--|
|                  | measured           | calculated | measured     | calculated | measured calculated |  |
| CTM1             | 4.78               | 4.58       | 0.23         | 0.24       | 0.18                |  |
| CTM3             | 4.35               | 4.14       | 0.24         | 0.17       | 0.04 (1)            |  |

(1) The contribution of the feed box on the measured heat inleak at 1.9 K is estimated to 0.314 W.

#### Heat inleaks for the two support posts

| Heat inleak [W] | @ 50 - 75 K/ | Line E     | @ 4.5 - 20 K / Line C |            | @1.9 K              |  |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------|--|
|                 | measured     | calculated | measured              | calculated | measured calculated |  |
| CTM1            | 15.8         | 14.2       | 1.8                   | 2.08       | 0.21                |  |
| CTM3            | 12.80 (2)    | 13.58      | 1.20 (2)              | 1.64       | 0.08 (2) 0.10       |  |

(2) No sensor to confirm the calculation.

#### Screens performance

| Heat inleak [W/m^2]@ 50 - 75 K |          |            | @ 4.5 - 20 K / 24 Braids ETP |            | @1.9 K   |              |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------|------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|
|                                | measured | calculated | measured                     | calculated | measured | l calculated |
| CTM1                           | 1.2      | 1.09       | 0.09                         | 0.08       |          |              |
| CTM3                           | 1.24     | 1.20       | 0.06 (3)                     |            | 0.017    | 0.02         |

(3) Interpretation with braid thermometers.

### Related Projects

- Lumped cryogenic spacer thermal performance
- Influence of the outer layer of MLI blanket: Vitrulan
- Support post thermal performance
- Superinsulation outgassing measurements
- Superinsulation comparison